XV Convegno SeSaMO

Università degli Studi di Napoli L'Orientale 22-24 giugno 2022



TITOLO/TITLE: A Time and a Place for Gramsci: Sources, Contexts and Analytical

Inspirations in MENA countries

PROPONENTE/I – PROPONENT/s: Andrea Teti, Alessandra Marchi

ABSTRACT (1500 parole/words):

Antonio Gramsci is increasingly frequently cited in Anglophone scholarship on the Middle East (e.g. Achcar, 2013; De Smet, 2014, 2016; Chalcraft, 2014). These borrowings tend to coalesce around a disciplinary doxa which is sometimes only loosely related to its original source material, as the fate of the idea of 'hegemony' in the field of International Relations demonstrates (Cox, 1983; cf. Budd, 2013). The current revival in North African and Middle Eastern/South-West Asian Studies (MENA/SWANA) raises similar questions about the relationship between primary sources, historical and contemporary political contexts, and analytical innovation and adaptation.

The task facing Gramscian-inspired analysis in MENA/SWANA 'area-focused' scholarship is to flourish both in intellectual development and concrete analysis while navigating safely to avoid the two extremes of a purely philological focus and of decontextualised analysis. To do this requires a nimbly weaving between historical contexts, careful textual analysis, and contemporary political realities.

At least two types of factors provide the current generation of scholarship with the opportunity to move beyond its predecessors, drawing upon two key advantages. First, the Arab Uprisings in 2010-11 and since 2019 mark a unique watershed and a new context in regional politics which invites re-examination not only of established truisms for the region itself (e.g. the authoritarian resilience vs. democratization debate) –, but have been source of both theoretical inspiration and political mobilisation well beyond 'the region'. Both within this 'region' and beyond it, the Uprisings provide a challenge for scholarship, for policy and for political organisation and action.

Second, Gramscian scholarship has flourished both in Italy and beyond the 'Anglosphere' building upon a recent growth in the publication of Gramsci's original writings in Italian as well as translations in several languages, including English. These studies provide philological, historical-contextual and theoretical tools developed by Italophone scholars on/of Gramsci. In combination with new English-language translations of Gramsci's work, these studies can become crucial sources of inspiration for Anglophone scholarship (e.g. Manduchi and Gervasio, 2021; Marchi and Chalcraft, 2021).

This wealth of analysis offers MENA/SWANA scholarship an opportunity to draw more richly on – but also speak to – original source material and theorisation both to better understand those sources, and to more robustly engage in the task of developing and adapting Gramscian analysis. Such scholarship, while finding inspiration in Gramsci's original conceptual toolkit and in the method or strategy behind his analysis, reflects upon and adapts those starting points on the basis of the specific characteristics of contemporary contexts (e.g. El-Sherif, 2015; Gherib, 2017).

In this vein, the panel discusses contributions combining an engagement with primary sources, contextual analysis and analytical engagement with aspects pertinent to the Arab Uprisings, their preceding mobilisations, their concrete praxis, and/or their ongoing implications.

Panel contributors explore key concepts and themes in Gramsci's thought by drawing on a combination of primary sources and contemporary contexts. Each contribution focuses on a concept or thematic-analytical aspect of Gramsci's thought, and while paying attention to conceptual and philological-semantic dimensions, reflect upon how these might inspire analytical strategies to make sense of concrete contemporary issues.

Contributions drawing on secondary literatures in languages other than English, developing cross-regional and cross-disciplinary comparative outlooks, and/or on themes *beyond* already established 'canonical' aspects of Gramscian thought (e.g. hegemony, civil society, historical bloc or war of movement/position) are particularly welcome.

References:

- Achcar, Gilbert. 2013. *The People Want: A Radical Exploration of the Arab Uprising*. University of California Press.
- Ashraf El-Sherif. 2015. "Dawlat Muluk Al-Tawaaef Fe Masr? (State of Castes' Kings in Egypt?)." Almlaf Almasry. November(15): 22–25.
- Budd, Adrian. 2013. *Class, States and International Relations: A critical appraisal of Robert Cox and neo-Gramscian theory*. London: Routledge.
- Buttigieg, Joseph A. 2018. "Gramsci in English." International Gramsci Journal 3(1): 26–40.
- Chalcraft, John. 2014. "Egypt's 25 January Uprising, Hegemonic Contestation, and the Explosion of the Poor." In *The New Middle East*, ed. Fawaz A. Gerges. New York: Cambridge University Press, 155–79.
- Cox, Robert W. 1983. "Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations: An Essay in Method." *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 12(2): 162–75.
- De Smet, Brecht. 2014. "Revolution and Counter-Revolution in Egypt." Science & Society 78(1): 11–40.
- De Smet, Brecht. 2016. *Gramsci on Tahrir: Revolution and Counter-Revolution in Egypt*. London: Pluto Press. Buttigieg, Joseph A. 2018. "Gramsci in English." *International Gramsci Journal* 3(1): 26–40.
- Gervasio, Gennaro, and Andrea Teti. 2021. "Prelude to the Revolution: Independent Civic Activists in Mubarak's Egypt and the Quest for Hegemony." *Journal of North African Studies*. 26(6): 1099-1121.
- Gherib, Baccar. 2017. Penser La Transition Avec Gramsci. Tunisie, 2011-2014. Tunis: Diwan.
- Gramsci, Antonio. 1975. Quaderni Del Carcere. Edited by Valentino Gerratana. Turin: Einaudi.
- Manduchi, Patrizia and Gervasio, Gennaro (eds.). 2021. "Special Issue: Gramsci and the Uprisings in North Africa" Journal of North African Studies. 26(6)
- Marchi, Alessandra and Chalcraft, John (eds). 2021. "Special Issue: Gramsci in the Arab World." *Middle East Critique*. 30(1)
- Marchi, Alessandra. 2021. "Molecular Transformations: Reading the Arab Uprisings with and beyond Gramsci." *Middle East Critique* 30(1): 1–20.
- Thomas, Peter D. 2018. "Refiguring the Subaltern." Political Theory 46(6): 861-84.

PROFILO ACCADEMICO DEL/DELLA/DEI/DELLE PROPONENTE/I – SHORT BIO OF PROPONENTS

Andrea Teti is Associate Professor of International Relations and Senior Associate Editor of Middle East Critique. Previously Visiting Professor at the universities of Bologna (2020), Cagliari (2018), Ghent (2017/18) and Amsterdam (2015), he was Principal Investigator for the EU-funded Arab Transformations Project consortium (2015-16). He was co-editor with Gennaro Gervasio and Luca Anceschi of Informal Power in the Greater Middle East (2014) as well as lead author of The Arab Uprisings in Egypt, Jordan and Tunisia (2018) and of Democratization Against Democracy: How EU Policy Fails the Middle East (2020). He has also published several articles on EU policy in the Middle East, on conceptions of democracy, on Michel Foucault, and on the production of knowledge about the Middle East in Social Science.

Alessandra Marchi holds a PhD in Social Anthropology at the EHESS in Paris and is Research Fellow at the University of Cagliari, where she currently works at the GramsciLab — Centre for International Gramscian Studies, Department of Political and Social Sciences, on a project on the Gramscian readings of MENA countries. She recently co-edited the Special Issue "Gramsci in the Arab World", *Middle East Critique*, (Vol. 30, n.1), with John Chalcraft, in which she also published the article "Molecular Transformations: Reading the Arab Uprisings with and beyond Gramsci". Among her publications: A. Marchi, P. Manduchi (eds), *A lezione da Gramsci. Democrazia, partecipazione politica, società civile in Tunisia* (Carocci 2019); A. Marchi, P. Manduchi, G. Vacca (eds), *Gramsci nel mondo arabo* (Il Mulino 2017).

INDIRIZZO/I EMAIL/EMAIL ADDRESSES:

andrea.teti@hotmail.com alessandra.marchi2012@gmail.com

CHAIR: Andrea Teti

Discussant 1: Gennaro Gervasio (Università di Roma Tre)

Discussant 2: Christian Elsässer (Universität Kiel)

PAPER 1: Patrizia Manduchi and Alessandra Marchi (Università di Cagliari) *Translations and Translatability of Gramsci's political lexicon in Arab countries*

Gramsci's thought has been present in the intellectual Arab debate at least since the Seventies, a period of political, social and cultural crisis in the MENA countries, through to the recent upheavals in 2011 and their aftermaths. The dissemination of Gramsci's lexicon is closely linked to the use of the language through which it is conveyed. This is particularly true in respect of the Arabic-speaking world, where terms, conceptual categories and theories borrowed from Gramsci went through a phase of considerable renewed popularity after the 2011 Uprisings.

The paper describes the various phases of the translation activity in Arabic language and, secondly, to focus on the difficulty of reception of Gramscian best known conceptual categories in the contemporary Arab political debate.

Awareness of Gramsci's thought in the Arab world came through the most widespread Western languages, and only later through translations into Arabic, most of which are indirect translations from English or French. Nonetheless, Gramscian concepts as Hegemony (haymana), Civil Society (mujtama'a almadaniyya), Organic and Traditional Intellectual (muthaqqaf 'udwiyyun / taqlīdī), Passive Revolution (althawra al-salbiyya), Subalternity and subalterns (taba'iyya / at-tābi'ūn), Southern Question (al-qadiyya aljanūbiyya), and others are considered crucial keys to understanding various Arab socio-political issues in many different analytical fields. These loanwords have been part of Arabic-language political lexicons for decades, and not only among Leftist militants.

This paper therefore focuses on the Gramscian theoretical lexicon's translatability and the risks involved in the use of such loanwords. Our question is: beyond the circulation of Gramscian analysis in the Arab world, how is it possible to translate concepts as specific as those elaborated by Gramsci in his historical period and context, adapting them to the interpretation of such different political and social realities? The question of "translatability" arises for each context where Gramsci's thought is disseminated. We consider the concept translatability as having two senses here. Firstly, it refers to the difficult task of translating from a

language to another (and even to a third, as is Gramsci's case). Secondly, it assesses the problems involved in translating Gramscian concepts from one context to another, since their wide diffusion has led to an excessive freedom of use (even though sometimes these new "uses" became new interpretative keys).

This notably means asking questions about translatability in the political field: Gramscian concepts mostly used some decades ago, have to be re-thought within the current historical and political context. The 2011 Arab Uprisings opened the way to a renewal of Marxist/Gramscian analysis to respond to the necessity of understanding how and why revolts have not yet achieved their goals. Our attention focuses then on the gradual – even molecular – transformations in the field of contentious politics and political subjectivities.

PAPER 2: Rossana Tufaro (Università di Roma La Sapienza) Anatomies of an organic crisis (or aetiology of a thawra): a Gramscian reading of the Lebanese Uprising (2019-2020)

In his seminal Prison Notebooks, Antonio Gramsci defined as organic crisis, or crisis of hegemony of the ruling groups, the condition whereby «social groups detach themselves from their traditional parties¬» which, in their given organizational form, «are not recognized anymore as an expression of their class of belonging, or fraction of class». This condition verifies – the Marxist philosopher adds – «or when ruling groups fail an important political mission for whom they demanded or imposed by force the consensus of large masses, either because large masses suddenly switch from a state of political passivity to a certain activity, and rise claims that, taken in their disorganic entirety, constitute a revolution» [Q13(XXX); § (23)].

On October 17, 2019, the attempt of imposition of a new indirect tax ignited the largest popular uprising ever experienced in Lebanon's post-colonial history. The Uprising debuted as an exhausted popular outcry against the sharp deterioration of the country's economic conditions, to quickly escalate into a broader political upsurge questioning the self-serving management of the state resources by Lebanese ruling elites and demand, through a variety of claims, practices and solidarities, a radical redefinition of the existing sectarian neoliberal order on more equal and inclusive bases. The Uprising – or thawra, 'revolution', as labelled by the ensemble of actors who embodied it – cross-cut sectarian, regional, generational, and gender boundaries and found much of its propulsive force from the political activation of socio-geographical constituencies (rural and peri-urban areas, petty bourgeoisie of self-employed, urban proletariat) which had so far remained on the margins of the transgressive contention. This posed an unprecedented challenge to the authority of sectarian leaderships who, for the first time since the end the Civil War (1975-1990), saw consistent portions of their historical constituencies revolting against their rule and demand their withdrawal from state power.

Building on the Gramscian notions of hegemony, organic crisis, and hegemonic incorporation, the following presentation aims at providing an alternative interpretation of the incremental dynamics of accumulation upon which the Uprising sedimented. In particular, by tracing back a genealogy of the dynamics of activation of the different socio-geographical and political constituencies who took the streets, the presentation contends that the political paternity of the Uprising does not need to be searched in the cycles of transgressive contention which crossed the country since the end of the Pax Syriana, but rather in the molecular transformations in the dominant sectarian relations triggered by the crisis of Lebanese neoliberal capitalism.

PAPER 3: Cherine Husssein (Institute of International Relations in Prague, Praga)

It was the late Edward Said who once wrote, criticism "must see itself inhabiting a contested cultural space"; it must recognize that it is of this world, and "aspire to hegemony in Gramsci's sense of the word"; and is "an interventionary and directive phenomenon" that must remain linked with the realities of human life. Thus Said argues that the realities of power and authority—as well as the resistances offered by men, women, and social movements to institutions, authorities, and orthodoxies—are the realities that make texts possible, and it is these realities that should be taken account of by criticism and critical consciousness.

In many ways, this view is an affirmation of the centrality of Antonio Gramsci's philosophy of praxis in elaborating revolutionary theory—a praxis which remains of this world, begins with human empowerment, and with the building of counterhegemony as a process of mapping spaces of power that can be territorially gained by an oppositionary collective with an alternative vision of social relations. It is also a revolutionary theory that centres its struggle for expansive hegemony within interlinked civil societies.

In this spirit, this paper explores the counterhegemonic activism of a selection of Palestinian and Jewish-Israeli single democratic state intellectuals against the hegemony of Zionism and separation—in the

context of the demise of the two-state paradigm as a viable solution to the Palestinian-Israeli conflict. It does this in an attempt to create a window into the processes of building counterhegemony through the conscious activation of Gramsci's philosophy of praxis within the framework of a "philosophical movement" that is centered upon the launching of a project of critical pedagogy in interlinked civil societies. Focussing on the locations and self- understandings of these intellectuals—and their articulation of a revolutionary conception of the world—it aims to explore how the dynamics of transforming social and political relations was perceived as a strategy in this context; and to highlight its interlinked practice of re-inventing new selves and political possibilities on the ground. Linking this phenomenon of resistance to a political imagining of an emergent, revolutionary (Pan) Arab world—this paper will also reflect upon how its counterhegemonic potential was both empowered and hindered by the victories and defeats of the uprisings in the region.

PAPER 4: Fatma Oussedik (Université de Algiers 2) Une « crise organique » au Maghreb? Actualité de la pensée d'Antonio Gramsci dans l'analyse de la situation politique en Algerie.

À l'heure où les intellectuels des pays anciennement colonisés s'interrogent sur leurs difficultés à comprendre leurs sociétés par soumission à une pensée occidentale, ma proposition a pour vocation de tenter de comprendre l'intérêt d'un recours actuel à la pensée de Gramsci dans l'analyse de la situation politique en Algerie. Une situation qui se caractérise par ce que nous pourrions considérer comme «une crise organique».

En effet, ce penseur peut apparaître comme lointain, du point de vue du temps comme de celui de la société depuis laquelle il s'exprimait. Toutefois, nous croyons en la recommandation de Nathan Sperber selon laquelle : « La distinction entre crise conjoncturelle et crise organique est importante. A mon avis il faut résister à la tentation de voir des crises organiques un peu partout aujourd'hui. On parlera surtout de crise organique au sens gramscien si l'on considère que le capitalisme est arrivé à un point où son effondrement prochain est à l'horizon. Il faut être prudent avec ces notions si on veut rester proche du sens marxiste que Gramsci leur donne» (« Gramsci et la question de l'hégémonie", le 15 juillet 2018, à l'Université d'été de LVSL avec Nathan Sperber et Marie Lucas).

Aussi, proposons nous d'engager une réflexion «prudente» sur le recours à telle notion dans le cas de l'Algerie, afin d'en voir les limites et de les comprendre.

À première lecture, force est de constater que, de notre point de vue, la crise de légitimité que vit le pouvoir algérien et qui affecte les rapports des Algériennes et des Algériens aux institutions de cet État, nous renvoie partiellement au concept de Crise Organique développé par Gramsci. Il nous semble de nature à rendre compte des enjeux actuels dans cette société car il s'agit bien, au sens où l'entend Gramsci, «d'une crise qui correspond à une crise d'hégémonie de la classe dirigeante, qui se produit soit parce que la classe dirigeante a essuyé un échec dans l'une de ses grandes entreprises politiques pour laquelle elle avait demandé ou imposé par la force le consentement des grandes masses [...], soit parce que les grandes masses [...] sont passées tout d'un coup de la passivité politique à une certaine activité et présentent des revendications qui, dans leur ensemble chaotique, constituent une révolution» (Gramsci, 1978, Cahiers de prison, 13, §23, p. 400).

Cette crise, dont les manifestations en termes de soulèvements se sont multipliées, a, à chaque fois, donné naissance à la volonté de « refigurer» les institutions politiques car il s'agit bien d'une «crise de l'Etat dans son ensemble» (ibid.). Un tel processus suppose l'existence d'une activité intellectuelle ayant pour objectif le changement.

Nous souhaiterions, donc, montrer à quoi peut renvoyer le recours, fut-il partiel, à une telle grille d'analyse dans le cas de l'Algerie.